

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/808,085	03/24/2004	John Ratzloff	1449.001US2	1449.001US2 5362		
21186 75	11/04/2004	EXAMINER				
SCHWEGMA	N, LUNDBERG, WOE	GHATT,	GHATT, DAVE A			
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOLI	15, MIN 33402		2854	<u></u> -		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/808,085		RATZLOFF, JOHN			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Dave A Ghatt	:	2854			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence add	ress		
Period fo							
THE - Extermination of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply is specified above.	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, lication. days, a reply within the statutory tory period will apply and will ex II. by statute, cause the application.	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status							
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 24 March 2004.					
,	•	o)⊠ This action is non-	-final.				
- /—	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
7	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims				•		
·		plication					
-	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,—	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
=	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requ	irement.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been r	eceived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been r	eceived in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents	s have been receive	d in this National S	stage		
	application from the International						
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified	d copies not received	d.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) X Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Por No(s)/Mail Date 3-24-04.	TO/SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-	152)		

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed March 24, 2004 was not considered in its entirety. None of the foreign references were reviewed by the examiner because it appears as thought the applicant did not provide the foreign references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hunter et al. (US 6,071,030). Hunter et al. teaches a method of preserving a printed image as claimed. As illustrated in Figure 5, Hunter teaches the step of providing a blank, printer paper sheet 110, and a hinge strip 114 mounted along an edge of the printer paper sheet. (See also column 7 lines 9-15.) Figure 5 shows, and column 6 line 67 of Hunter et al. teaches the hinge strip including a flexible portion 126 for allowing the hinge strip to bend. Figure 5 also teaches the step of providing a mounting portion 122 for mounting the page to an album. (See column 1.) Figure 11 teaches the step of printing an image on the page by sending the page through a printer.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/808,085

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 3 and 7-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter 5. et al. (US 6,071,030) in view of Policht (US 5,040,216). Hunter et al. in view of Policht teaches the claimed method. With respect to claims 2, 3, 10, and 11, Hunter et al. teaches a method of preserving a printed image. As illustrated in Figure 5, Hunter teaches the step of providing a blank, printer paper sheet 110, and a hinge strip 114 mounted along an edge of the printer paper sheet. (See also column 7 lines 9-15.) Figure 5 shows, and column 6 line 67 of Hunter et al. teaches the hinge strip including a flexible portion 126 for allowing the hinge strip to bend. Figure 5 also teaches the step of providing a mounting portion 122 for mounting the page to a binder. (See column 1.) Figure 11 teaches the step of printing an image on the page by sending the page through a desktop printer. As stated above, Hunter et al. teaches all the claimed steps for preserving printing paper by mounting the printing paper to a binder. Hunter et al. does not specifically teach mounting photographic printing paper to an album. Policht teaches a similar process as Hunter, which as illustrated in Figures 5-7, includes mounting photographic printing paper to an album. To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to use the process of Hunter et al., for preserving photographic printing paper as taught by Policht, in order to provide an improved photo package that mounts all photographs, uniquely within a book-like cover, as taught by Policht in column 1 lines 38-45.

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claim 7, Figure 11 of the primary reference Hunter et al. shows the step of sending the blank sheet and attached mounting strip through the printer to print an image on the sheet, such that the image becomes integral with the paper.

With respect to claim 8, Figure 11 of the primary reference Hunter et al. shows the step of sending the blank sheet and attached mounting strip through a desktop digital printer. Column 5 lines 61-66 teach the use of a digital printer.

With respect to claims 9 and 12, the primary reference Hunter et al. teaches mounting holes 122.

With respect to claim 11 the primary reference teaches mounting holes 122, as taught in column 6 lines 63-64 for mounting to a binder. As outlined above, Hunter et al. does not specifically teach mounting photographic printing paper to an album. Policht teaches a similar process as Hunter, which as illustrated in Figures 5-7, includes mounting photographic printing paper to an album. To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to use the process of Hunter et al., for preserving photographic printing paper as taught by Policht, in order to provide an improved photo package that mounts all photographs, uniquely within a book-like cover, as taught by Policht in column 1 lines 38-45.

6. Claims 4, 6, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter et al. (US 6,071,030) in view of Policht (US 5,040,216) as applied to claim 2, 3 and 7-12 above, and further in view of Tyler (US 4,207,366). As outlined above, Hunter et al. and Policht teach all the claimed method steps, except the composition of the photographic paper is not known. Column 1 lines 35-54 of Tyler teach paper for printing, the paper comprising 100%

Art Unit: 2854

cotton rag paper. Column 1 lines 35-54 also teach the use of neutral (acid-free) paper. To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to use the paper taught by Tyler, in the method of Hunter et al. and Policht, in order to provide dimensionally stable crush resistant paper, as taught in the abstract of Tyler.

With respect to claim 14, and the requirement for an acid free hinge strip, column 1 lines 48-54 teach the importance of neutral (acid-free) paper. In view of this teaching of Tyler, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make an acid-free hinge strip because neutrality in paper in the most important factor in paper permanence as taught by Tyler in column 1 line 50.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter et al. (US 6,071,030) in view of Policht (US 5,040,216) as applied to claims 2, 3 and 7-12 above, and further in view of Singh et al. (US 6,332,953).). As outlined above, Hunter et al. and Policht teach all the claimed method steps, except the composition of the paper is not known. Column 2 lines 48-50 of Singh teach paper for printing, the paper being unbleached and uncoated. To one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to use the unbleached and uncoated paper of Singh et al., in the method of Hunter et al. and Policht, because paper of this composition has been routinely used for printing purposes, as taught in column 2 of Singh et al.

Art Unit: 2854

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave A Ghatt whose telephone number is (571) 272-2165. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAG

al Heffe ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800